



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,816	02/25/2002	Andrew Cofler	00GR35154360	1555	
27975	27975 7590 03/24/2005		EXAMINER		
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE			TSAI, H	TSAI, HENRY	
P.O. BOX 3791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791			2183	<del></del>	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Applicant(s)	1/C	_						
Examiner			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Henry W.H. Tsai   2183			10/082,816	COFLER ET AL.				
The MALIUNG DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of tisn may be evaluated out the previous of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In one event, however, may a risply be timely lited to the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (00) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (00) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (00) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (00) days, and the construction of the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (00) days and the construction.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (00) days, and the decidence of the period of the period of the period of the above days with the statutory minimum of thinty (00) days will be constructed in the replication is non-distingtion for allowance of the communication of the construction and the communication of the above days and the period of the above days and the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  A) Claim(s) 25-50 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 25-50 is/are pending in the application of the days and the period of the period of the period of the period of the days and the period of the perio		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extractions of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely lifed.  Extractions of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely lifed.  Extractions of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely lifed.  EXTRACTION of the reply septified above, the mainturn statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Provision and the provision of the pro								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of ime may be available under the provisions of 3C PCR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 53k (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of fills communication.  Failure for reply within from the mailing date of fills communication. Purply within the statistory provision of the provision o								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2005.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 25-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 25-27.30.36-40 and 50 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 25-27.30.36-40 and 50 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 28.29.31-35 and 41-49 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some 'o) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1) Notice of Draftspersons Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO-1430 pr PTO/SB(0))  Policy Internation Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO-1430 pr PTO/SB(0))  Policy Information Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply secified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 25-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s)is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s)25-27, 30, 36-40 and 50 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: 1	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 25-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _ 25-27,30.36-40 and 50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _ 26-27,30.36-40 and 50 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) _ 36-39.31-35 and 41-49 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _ 36-30 and 41-49 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5) Notice of Informal Patient Application (PTO-152)	1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fe	<u>bruary 2005</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
Algorithm of Claims  4) Claim(s) 25-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 25-27,30,36-40 and 50 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 28,29,31-35 and 41-49 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-143) Paper No(s)/Mall Data.	3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4)  Claim(s) 25-50 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 25-27.30,36-40 and 50 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 28,29,31-35 and 41-49 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 28,29,31-35 and 41-49 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mall Dale	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 25-27,30,36-40 and 50 is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) 22.29,31-35 and 41-49 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25-27,30,36-40 and 50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 25-27,30,36-40 and 50 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-50</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6) Claim(s) 25-27.30,36-40 and 50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 28,29,31-35 and 41-49 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) _ is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7) Claim(s) 28,29,31-35 and 41-49 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)□	) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>25-27,30,36-40 and 50</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)⊠	7) Claim(s) <u>28,29,31-35 and 41-49</u> is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application	on Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
a)	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:</li> <li>1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	· ·						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 25-27, 36-40, and 50 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Emma et al. (U.S. Patent
No. 5,353,421) (hereafter referred to as Emma et al.'421).

Referring to claim 25, Emma et al.'421 discloses, as claimed, a method of handling branching instructions using a processor (see Fig. 10, and col. 14, lines 14-15) comprising a program memory (10, see Fig. 10, and Col. 7, lines 3-5) storing program instructions, and a processor core comprising a plurality of processing units (certainly existing in the Emma et al.'421's system, such as integer unit, floating point unit, and addressing unit) and a central unit (certainly existing in the Emma et al.'421's system, such as the CPU) connected thereto,

the central unit issuing instructions to the processing units based upon the program instructions, the method comprising: clocking the processor core with a clock signal (since a clock is certainly used to synchronize the processing in the Emma et al.'421's system); receiving a branching instruction (based on the branch address see Fig. 12) in the course of a current cycle; and processing the received branching instruction in the current cycle (with broadest reasonable interpretation, the Emma et al.'421's system will process a branching instruction immediately without wait when the instruction is received (fetched), see pipeline stages in Fig. 1. and see also column 5, lines 29-32, regarding the EHT makes its prediction during the instruction-fetch phase of the pipeline. Therefore, the fetched instruction is processed continuously and immediately either in the prediction or decoding stage in the current cycle).

Referring to claim 36, Emma et al.'421 discloses, as claimed, a method of handling branching instructions using a processor (see Fig. 10, and col. 14, lines 14-15) comprising a program memory (10, see Fig. 10, and Col. 7, lines 3-5) storing program instructions, and a processor core comprising a plurality of processing units (certainly existing in the Emma et al.'421's system, such as integer unit, floating point unit, and addressing unit), and a central unit (certainly existing in the

Art Unit: 2183

Emma et al.'421's system, such as the CPU) connected thereto, the central unit issuing instructions to the processing units based upon the program instructions, the method comprising: receiving at the central core a branching instruction (based on the branch address see Fig. 12) during a current clock cycle and processing the received branching instruction during the current clock cycle (with broadest reasonable interpretation, the Emma et al.'421's system will process a branching instruction immediately without wait when the instruction is received (fetched), see pipeline stages in Fig. 1. and see also column 5, lines 29-32, regarding the EHT makes its prediction during the instruction-fetch phase of the pipeline. Therefore, the fetched instruction is processed continuously and immediately either in the prediction or decoding stage in the current cycle).

Referring to claim 38, Emma et al.'421 discloses, as claimed, a processor (see Fig. 10, and col. 14, lines 14-15) comprising: a program memory (10, see Fig. 10, and Col. 7, lines 3-5) for storing program instruction; and a processor core being clocked by a clock signal (since a clock is certainly used to synchronize the processing in the Emma et al.'421's system) and comprising a plurality of processing units (certainly existing in the Emma et al.'421's system, such as integer unit, floating point unit, and addressing unit) and a central unit (certainly

Art Unit: 2183

existing in the Emma et al. '421's system, such as the CPU) connected thereto, said central unit for issuing instructions to said processing units based upon corresponding program instructions; said central unit comprising a branching module · (instruction buffer 11, see Fig. 10) for receiving a branching instruction (based on the branch address see Fig. 12) during a current clock cycle, and processing this branching instruction during the current clock cycle (with broadest reasonable interpretation, the Emma et al. '421's system will process a branching instruction immediately without wait when the instruction is received (fetched), see pipeline stages in Fig. 1. and see also column 5, lines 29-32, regarding the EHT makes its prediction during the instruction-fetch phase of the pipeline. Therefore, the fetched instruction is processed continuously and immediately either in the prediction or decoding stage in the current cycle).

As to claims 26, 37, and 39, Emma et al.'421 also discloses: the processing units comprise a first processing unit including at least one address-pointing register (inside BHT 82, see Fig. 9); wherein a branching instruction uses the content of the at least one address-pointing register (inside BHT 82, see Fig. 9); and further comprising checking validity (validity bit V, see Fig. 9 and 11, see also Col. 13, lines 54-

Art Unit: 2183

56) of the content of the at least one address-pointing register (inside BHT 82, see Fig. 9) at the start of the current cycle so that the branching instruction is actually received by the central unit and processed if the content is declared valid (when the validity bit V is valid, see Fig. 9 and 11, see also Col. 13, lines 54-56), and, in an opposite case (when the validity bit V is not valid, see Fig. 9 and 11, see also Col. 13, lines 54-56), the branching instruction is kept on hold (since the target of the branch found in BHT will not be fetched when the validity bit V is valid, see Col. 13, lines 54-56) for processing until the content is declared valid.

As to claims 27, and 40, Emma et al.'421 also discloses: recopying the content of the at least one address-pointing register into at least- one corresponding duplicated address-pointing register (the register, not explicitly shown, inside the select logic 105 or select gate 106 for storing the inputs); and wherein the checking (note the validity of the bit V shown in Fig. 9 is checked by the select logic 105 see Fig.

11) is of the at least one corresponding duplicated address-pointing register.

As to claim 50, Emma et al.'421 also discloses: having a decoupled architecture (since the instruction cycle of the Emma et al.'421's system comprises different pipelined stages

Art Unit: 2183

involving Instruction fetch or prefetch and Operand fetch and using different units in parallel see Fig. 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emma et al.'421 in view of European Patent Application No. EP 1 050 805 (hereafter referred to as EP'805) or Applicant Admitted Prior Art mentioned in Specification page 4, last paragraph to page 5, lines 1-18 (hereafter referred to as AAPA).

Emma et al.'421 discloses the claimed invention except for a second processing unit including a guard-indication register, wherein in the presence of a guarded branching instruction, a check on the validity of the guard indication assigned to the branching instruction and contained in the guard-indication

Art Unit: 2183

register is carried out at the start of the current cycle; and wherein the guarded branching instruction is actually received by the central unit and processed, if the value of the corresponding guard indication is declared valid, and, in the opposite case, this guarded branching instruction is kept on hold for processing until the value of the corresponding guard indication is declared valid (in claim 30).

EP'805 discloses a system comprising a second processing unit (19, see Fig. 1) contains a guard-indication register (100, see Fig. 1), wherein in the presence of a guarded branching instruction, a check on the validity of the value of the guard indication assigned to said branching instruction (see Col. 5, lines 54-55, regarding the guard selecting from G0-G15 selected for each instruction (certainly including branch instruction)) and contained in the guard-indication register (100, see Fig. 1) is carried out at the start of said current cycle, and in that said guarded branching instruction is actually received by the central unit (12, see Fig. 1) and processed, if the value of the corresponding guard indication (see Col. 2, lines 44-49 or Col. 5, lines 54-55, regarding the guard selecting from G0-G15 selected for each instruction (certainly including branch instruction)) is declared valid (see Col. 5, lines 56-58, regarding the value true or false attributed to guards from GO-

Art Unit: 2183

particular time in a guard register file), and, in the opposite case, this guarded branching instruction is kept on hold for processing until the value of the corresponding guard indication is declared valid. Besides, as Applicant Admitted Prior Art mentioned in Specification page 4, last paragraph to page 5, lines 1-18, the use of guarded instruction in a processor is already known in to a person skilled in the art.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Emma et al.'421's system to comprise a second processing unit including a guard-indication register, wherein in the presence of a guarded branching instruction, a check on the validity of the guard indication assigned to the branching instruction and contained in the guard-indication register is carried out at the start of the current cycle; and wherein the guarded branching instruction is actually received by the central unit and processed, if the value of the corresponding quard indication is declared valid, and, in the opposite case, this guarded branching instruction is kept on hold for processing until the value of the corresponding quard indication is declared valid, as taught by EP'805 (or AAPA), in order to facilitate efficiently controlling the branch instructions by reduce the

latency due to data dependency and pipeline stall problems (<u>such</u> as using predicates, see Col. 1, lines 27-28), for the Emma et al.'421's device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 28, 29, 31-35, and 41-49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/10/05 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Regarding drawings and specification problems, Applicant's response has completely overcome these objections.

Regarding the Emma et al. reference, Applicants argue that "the mechanism uses at least two different branch prediction mechanisms. The reference discusses "cycles" of the pipeline (e.g. column 1 and column 7) and specifically teaches, at column 5, lines 29-32, that "the EHT makes its prediction during the

instruction-fetch phase of the pipeline and that it can be several cycles until the branch instructions is finally executed." Accordingly, the Examiner is mischaracterizing the actual teachings of the Emma et al. reference as there is no suggestion that a branching instruction, received by the central unit in the course of a current cycle of the clock signal, is processed during the current cycle, as claimed" (page 18, lines 9-20). Examiner disagrees with Applicants. As set forth in the art rejections above, Emma et al. discloses: receiving a branching instruction (based on the branch address see Fig. 12) in the course of a current cycle; and processing the received branching instruction in the current cycle (with broadest reasonable interpretation, the Emma et al.'421's system will process a branching instruction immediately without wait when the instruction is received (fetched), see pipeline stages in Fig. 1. and see also column 5, lines 29-32, regarding the EHT makes its prediction during the instruction-fetch phase of the pipeline. Therefore, the fetched instruction is processed continuously and immediately either in the prediction or decoding stage in the current cycle).

Applicants argue that "European patent application was cited to teach the use of a guard-indication register. Without discussing the details thereof, it is sufficient to note that

Art Unit: 2183

nothing in such reference discusses a branching instruction, received by the central unit in the course of a current cycle of the clock signal, is processed during the current cycle, as claimed. As such, this reference cannot make up for the deficiencies of the Emma et al. reference as discussed above." (page 18, lines 24-28). Examiner disagrees with Applicants. As set forth above, Emma et al. discloses: receiving a branching instruction (based on the branch address see Fig. 12) in the course of a current cycle; and processing the received branching instruction in the current cycle (with broadest reasonable interpretation, the Emma et al. '421's system will process a branching instruction immediately without wait when the instruction is received (fetched), see pipeline stages in Fig. 1. and see also column 5, lines 29-32, regarding the EHT makes its prediction during the instruction-fetch phase of the pipeline. Therefore, the fetched instruction is processed continuously and immediately either in the prediction or decoding stage in the current cycle). Therefore, Emma et al. already discloses the claimed limitations of -a branching instruction, received by the central unit in the course of a current cycle of the clock signal, is processed during the current cycle- as claimed. As set forth in the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection, Emma et al.'421 in view of European Patent Application No. EP 1 050

Art Unit: 2183

805 (hereafter referred to as EP'805) or Applicant Admitted Prior Art mentioned in Specification page 4, last paragraph to page 5, lines 1-18 (hereafter referred to as AAPA) teaches the limitations described in claim 30 such as the guard-indication register.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# Contact Information

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Henry Tsai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, Eddie Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-4162. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC central telephone number, 571-272-2100.
- 9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 2100 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group at fax number: 703-872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2100 will be promptly forward to the examiner.

HENRY W.H.TSAI PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 21, 2005